

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/937,643

Applicant(s)

PHILLIPS ET AL.

Examiner

J. Eric Angell

Art Unit

1635

**All Participants:**(1) J. Eric Angell.(2) Sima Kulkarni.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4 June 2004**Time:** afternoon**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All pending*

Claims discussed:

*All pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*NA***Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.DAVE T. NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants were asked to consider a proposed Examiner's Amendment that would over come all rejections. Furthermore applicants were asked to cancel claims 70, 72, 74, 76 and 78. Applicants approved the Examiner's Amendment and agreed to cancel claims 70, 72, 74, 76 and 78. The pending claims are allowed in view of the Examiner's amendment, which reflects the guidance set forth in the Final Office Action dated 3/10/04.

  
Patent Examiner

